



MERCHANT, GOULD, SMITH, EDELL, WELTER & SCHMIDT

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a becompanion name; that		idence, post office address and citize	
	r which is claimed and for which	f only one name is listed below) or a n a patent is sought on the invention G MACHINES	
The specification of which a. ☐ is attached hereto b. ☑ was filed on July 10, 1998 a application) described and claimed solicit a United States patent.			cable) (in the case of a PCT–filed nich I have reviewed and for which I
I hereby state that I have reviewed any amendment referred to above.	and understand the contents of	the above-identified specification, in	ncluding the claims, as amended by
I acknowledge the duty to disclose Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attack		o the patentability of this application	in accordance with Title 37, Code of
	so identified below any foreign	es Code, § 119/365 of any foreign apapplication for patent or inventor's c	
a. ⋈ no such applications have beb. □ such applications have been			
FOR	EIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, (CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC	§ 119
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
ALL FORE	LEIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, F	LED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLIC	CATION(S)
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)


FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119				
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)	
ALL FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLICATION(S)				
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)		

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)		



Albrecht, John W.	Reg. No. 40,481	Kowalchyk, Katherine M.	Reg. No. 36,848
Ansems, Gregory M.	Reg. No. P-42,264	Lacy, Paul E.	Reg. No. 38,946
Batzli, Brian H.	Reg. No. 32,960	Larson, James A.	Reg. No. 40,443
Beard, John L.	Reg. No. 27,612	Lasky, Michael B.	Reg. No. 29,555
Berman, Charles	Reg. No. 29,249	Lindquist, Timothy A.	Reg. No. 40,701
Black, Bruce E.	Reg. No. P-41,622	Lynch, David W.	Reg. No. 36,204
Blasdell, Thomas L.	Reg. No. 31,329	McDaniel, Karen D.	Reg. No. 37,674
Bogucki, Raymond A.	Reg. No. 17,426	McDonald, Daniel W.	Reg. No. 32,044
Bruess, Steven C.	Reg. No. 34,130	McIntyre, Iain A.	Reg. No. 40,337
Byrne, Linda M.	Reg. No. 32,404	Mueller, Douglas P.	Reg. No. 30,300
Canady, Karen S.	Reg. No. 39,927	Nasiedlak, Tyler L.	Reg. No. 40,099
Carlson, Alan G.	Reg. No. 25,959	Nelson, Albin J.	Reg. No. 28,650
Carter, Charles G.	Reg. No. 35,093	Pauly, Daniel M.	Reg. No. 40,123
Caspers, Philip P.	Reg. No. 33,227	Plunkett, Theodore	Reg. No. 37,209
Chiapetta, James R.	Reg. No. 39,634	Pytel, Melissa J.	Reg. No. 41,512
Clifford, John A.	Reg. No. 30,247	Reich, John C.	Reg. No. 37,703
Daignault, Ronald A.	Reg. No. 25,968	Reiland, Earl D.	Reg. No. 25,767
Daley, Dennis R.	Reg. No. 34,994	Rittmaster, Ted R.	Reg. No. 32,933
Dalglish, Leslie E.	Reg. No. 40,579	Schmaltz, David G.	Reg. No. 39,828
Daulton, Julie R.	Reg. No. 36,414	Schuman, Mark D.	Reg. No. 31,197
DeVries Smith, Kate	Reg. No. P-42,157	Schumann, Michael D.	Reg. No. 30,422
DiPietro, Mark J.	Reg. No. 28,707	Sebald, Gregory A.	Reg. No. 33,280
Edell, Robert T.	Reg. No. 20,187	Skoog, Mark T.	Reg. No. 40,178
Epp Ryan, Sandra	Reg. No. 39,667	Soderberg, Richard	Reg. NoP-43,352
Farber, Michael B.	Reg. No. 32,612	Sumner, John P.	Reg. No. 29,114
Funk, Steven R.	Reg. No. 37,830	Sumners, John S.	Reg. No. 24,216
Glance, Robert J.	Reg. No. 40,620	Tellekson, David K.	Reg. No. 32,314
Golla, Charles E.	Reg. No. 26,896	Trembath, Jon R.	Reg. No. 38,344
Gorman, Alan G.	Reg. No. 38,472	Underhill, Albert L.	Reg. No. 27,403
Gould, John D.	Reg. No. 18,223	Vandenburgh, J. Derek	Reg. No. 32,179
Gregson, Richard	Reg. No. P-41,804	Vradenburgh, Anna M.	Reg. No. 39,868
Gresens, John J.	Reg. No. 33,112	Welter, Paul A.	Reg. No. 20,890
Hamre, Curtis B.	Reg. No. 29,165	Whipps, Brian	Reg. No. P-43,261
Hillson, Randall A.	Reg. No. 31,838	Williams, Douglas J.	Reg. No. 27,054
Johnston, Scott W.	Reg. No. 39,721	Witt, Jonelle	Reg. No. P-41,980
Kastelic, Joseph M.	Reg. No. 37,160	Wood, Gregory B.	Reg. No. 28,133
Kettelberger, Denise	Reg. No. 33,924	Wood, William J.	Reg. No. P-42,236
Komanduri, Janaki	Reg. No. 40,684	Xu, Min S.	Reg. No. 39,536
Kowalchyk, Alan W.	Reg. No. 31,535		

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Merchant, Gould, Smith, Edell, Welter & Schmidt to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Merchant, Gould, Smith, Edell, Welter & Schmidt at the address indicated below:

Merchant, Gould, Smith, Edell, Welter & Schmidt 1000 Norwest Center 55 East Fifth Street St. Paul, MN 55101-1785 I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

	D UN	T. 11 N.	Tr Cl. N		
∥_ ∃	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Johansen	Scott		A.
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
	& Citizenship	Minneapolis	Minnesota		U.S.A.
1	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	2612 60th Street West	Minneapolis		Minnesota 55410/U.S.A.
Signa	Signature of Inventor 201: Scott high Splans Date: 8/25/98				
	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Britain	Kent		R.
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
	& Citizenship	Ellsworth	Wisconsin		U.S.A.
2	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	N6668 County Road BB	Ellsworth		Wisconsin 54011/U.S.A.
Signa	Signature of Inventor 202: Ket Signature			Date: 8	125/98
	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Monsrud	Lee		J.
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
	& Citizenship	Inver Grove	Minnesota		U.S.A.
3	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	7475 Clead's Way E.	Inver Grove		Minnesota 55076/U.S.A.
Signa	Signature of Inventor 203: Lee Monsrul			Date:	8/25/98
	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name
2	Of Inventor	Davidson	Glen		W.
0	Residence	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship
	& Citizenship	Roseville	Minnesota		U.S.A.
4	Post Office	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country
	Address	1780 County Road C2	Roseville		Minnesota 55113/U.S.A.
Signa	Signature of Inventor 204: She W. Dund Date: 8/25/98				





- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)–(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim;

or

- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden—of—proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.